IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

BER! STARES, et al,

Plaintiffs,

MINITE HUGHES, Executrix of this the Will and Testament of Maud almoorg, Deceased, et al, Defendants.

No. 367315

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

Come now the defendants, Annie L. Stangroom NGRMANS BERTHA TUTTLE, ALFRED ZENGEL, CHARLOTTE ZENGEL, LADORA 2015 . ZENGLE, individually and as administrateror the estate of BLI ABETH ZENGEL, and for enswer to the Amended Complaint of the Plaintiffs, here say:

Answoring Paragraph I of the said Amended Complaint these dorendents admit that the parties are joint owners of Parcels A, and C designated in said paragraph, but deny all the remainder of said paragraph.

Answering Paragraph II, they admit that Minnie Haghes is the exocutrix of the will of Maude Palmberg; that Certrude Hughes was formerly Certie German; they deny all other parts of said paragraph.

They deny Paragraph III of the said amended complaint. IV.

They deny Paragraph IV of sald amunded complaint.

Answering Paragraph V, phey deny the same and each and every purt thereof, except they admit that Jeremile Haphes and her husband improved Parcel A. They admit that no party paid any rent.

VI.

Answering Paragraph VI, the Sefendants dony the same.

VIII.

Comy Personaph VIII.

TUDINGR ABSWER AND BY WAY OF GROSS-COMPLAINT AGAINS

I.

he property involved in this proceeding is proato of the parties hereto. That the plan ses administrator of the estate, but after the of these defendants and the other defendants, still intest, gave to plaintiff the money to y said property, relying upon the plaintiff, Bert me, and that he accepted the same, As trustee, ring the taxes. That after some time theme do that plaintiff had misappropriated the money and I was, as a result of which these defendants lost the for Parcel B of the property mentioned in the comple was sold for taxes, and the same has become a ter se defendents, as well as the money which they save taxes; and that these defendants, Annie Stangroom and abgroom, are the sole owners of the real property design In the complaint as Parcel B, and that none of the other browin have any interest in said Parcel B, but that it The the community funds of Annie L. Stangroom and Stud That said Parcel B was sold for taxes for the reason met the plaintiff, Bert Stares, had misappropriated the funde paid to him by the defendants for the purpose of paying the taxon on theproperty involved.

II.

That if the partition be had in this case, these defendant assert that they are entitled to have their proportionate share of the value of the Parcel B, which was lost to these defendants by

of the taxes not being paid, charged against the plaintie il as the money which they advanced to the plaintiff to per axes, and which were misappropriated by said Bert Stares. IN STANGROOM, being first duly sworm, on oath deposes t she is one of the defendants in the above-entitled that she has read the above and foregoing Answer; knows intents thereof; and believes the same to be true. sworm to before me this 4 day of 48 gain Spris, 10